

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,762	06/25/2001	Yonina C. Eldar	0492611-0395(MIT 9170)	9398
75	90 01/20/2004		EXAMI	NER
John A. Hamil Choate, Hall &	η		BURD, KEVIN MICHAEL	
53 State Street	Siewait /		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Exchange Place			2631	
Boston, MA 0	2109		DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N	o. Applicant(s	;)
•	09/888,762	ELDAR ET	
Office Action Summar		Art Unit	, <u></u>
•	Kevin M Burd	2631	
The MAILING DATE of this com			nce address
Period for Reply	••		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMON - Extensions of time may be available under the proven after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximon - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for - Any reply received by the Office later than three money are part of the part of the set	IUNICATION. isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho communication. iirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory rum statutory period will apply and will expir reply will, by statute, cause the application on this after the mailing date of this communication.	wever, may a reply be timely filed ninimum of thirty (30) days will be consider re SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date on to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1)	of this communication. 33).
1) Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on 12 August 2003		
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-fir	nal	
3) Since this application is in cond	, 		to the merite is
closed in accordance with the p			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in	the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from conside	eration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected t			
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or election requi	rement.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June</u>	<u>2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or	b) objected to by the Exam	niner.
Applicant may not request that any	objection to the drawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85	ō(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu		• , ,	(- / -
11)☐ The oath or declaration is object		ne attached Office Action or fo	rm PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a c	laim for foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the price	or: ority documents have been red	ceived	
2. Certified copies of the price	ority documents have been re-	ceived in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified cop			tional Stage
* See the attached detailed Office	national Bureau (PCT Rule 17 action for a list of the certified	· //	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a classince a specific reference was inc 37 CFR 1.78.	im for domestic priority under luded in the first sentence of the	35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provine specification or in an Applic	sional application) ation Data Sheet.
a) The translation of the foreig			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a cla reference was included in the first			
	·		
Attachment(s) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	۸.۳	Intention Summer (DTO 440) C	oor No(o)
 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (P10-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 	4) L ew (PTO-948) 5) [Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pap Notice of Informal Patent Application	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14			· · - · · /
6. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)	Office Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 6
	rouses outsinary		i aitori aper 140. U

Art Unit: 2631

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 12/10/2001 and 8/12/2003 are being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 14-27 and 37-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smee et al (US 6,522,683).

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 14-25, Smee discloses an apparatus comprising a bank or plurality of correlators and a method of using the correlators described in column 8, lines 45-64. The received signal is cross-correlated. Walsh functions are used, which are orthogonal (column 7, lines 35-39). In addition, a least mean square (LMS) algorithm is used (column 14, lines 46-48). These processes take place in elements 214, 218, 222 and 224 in figure 2. The output of these elements is feed to a filter 230 that further shapes the output. This filter is a "correlation shaper".

Art Unit: 2631

Regarding claim 26, the output of the correlation shaper is fed to downstream elements that will detect and forward or process the received signal.

Regarding claims 27 and 37-48, Smee discloses an apparatus comprising a bank or plurality of correlators and a method of using the correlators described in column 8, lines 45-64. The received signal is cross-correlated Walsh functions are used, which are orthogonal (column 7, lines 35-39). In addition, a least mean square (LMS) algorithm is used (column 14, lines 46-48). These processes take place in elements 214, 218, 222 and 224 in figure 2. The DEMUX 214 receives the signal and process the signal. The correlation takes place on the output of the DEMUX to "shape" the output from element 224.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smee et al (US 6,522,683) in view of the instant application's disclosed prior art (specifically paragraph 0004).

Regarding claim 3, Smee discloses the apparatus and method described in paragraph 2. Smee does not disclose the correlators are a matched filter receiver. However, the instant application's disclosed prior art discloses, in paragraph 0004,

Art Unit: 2631

CDMA receivers use matched filter receivers that try to mitigate the effect of multiple signature signal interference and background noise. For this reason, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the matched filter receiver of the instant application's prior art in the bank of correlators of Smee.

4. Claims 4-6, 9-11, 27-30, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smee et al (US 6,522,683) in view of Huang et al (US 6,067,292).

Regarding claims 4-6, 9-11, 28-30, 33 and 34, Smee discloses the apparatus and method described in paragraph 2. Smee does not disclose shaping the correlation by minimizing the mean square error. Huang discloses in column 20, lines 14-17, the output of the processed received signal is processed again to minimize the mean square error of the demodulated CDMA signal. This minimizing of the mean square error takes place in a "correlation shaper". It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Huang into the apparatus and method of Smee. By minimizing the mean squared error, performance of the receiver and the interference cancellation system can be improved (column 14, lines 46-54).

5. Claims 7, 8, 12, 13, 31, 32, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smee et al (US 6,522,683) in view of Heikkila (US 2002/0122470).

Art Unit: 2631

Regarding claims 7, 8, 12, 13, 31, 32, 35 and 36, Smee discloses the apparatus and method described in paragraph 2. Smee does not disclose shaping the correlation by performing a transformation on the output so that the covariance matrix has the property that the second row is a permutation of the first row. Heikkila discloses a receiver for demodulating the received signal (figure 8, element 46 and paragraph 0106). The output of element 46 is input to element 30. This element shapes the output of the demodulator by minimizing the Mean Square Error (paragraph 0033) that utilizes the rows of a covariance matrix as stated in the abstract. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Heikkila into the apparatus and method of Smee. Minimizing the mean squared error of the symbols allows the data to be properly recovered in the receiver. The data will be free of errors and the receiving process will be more efficient.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Eldar et al (US 2002/0146066) discloses an apparatus comprising a bank of correlators outputting a signal to a correlation shaper as shown in figures 3 and 4.

Contact Information

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

, Art Unit: 2631

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry or for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Burd, whose telephone number is (703) 308-7034. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

Mwm M Bund
Kevin M. Burd
PATENT EXAMINER

1/13/04